


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES



TO: ☐ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Houston
 FROM: K. Hammerly, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 8/22/2008
 CASE NO.: 08cv1033 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff Hennington, Jr.
 CASE TITLE: Hennington, Jr. V. FBI
 DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Ex Parte Application for Default Judgment

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/>	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/>	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	X	<u>OTHER: 5.1 Improper Format; 5.2 Missing Proof of Service</u>

Date forwarded: 8/25/2008

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☒ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Houston

Dated: 8/26/08
cc: All Parties

By: [signature]

1 Ex-parte Application
2 for Default-Judgment
3 To The Honorable Judge
4 John A. Houston [Attention]
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7
8
9
10

11 Alvin Hennington JR.
12 Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 08CV1033-

13 vs.
14 Federal Bureau of
Investigation A.K.A Defendant.

BTM AJB

15 United States Government
16

17 Request Court Judgment
18 and temp. Injunction AGAINST
19
20
21

22 Defendants: Federal
23 Bureau of Investigation
24 and Court Judgment
25
26
27
28